EXHIBIT

2

	Case 1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 11-2 Filed 03/01/10 Page 2 of 4 Elfreth - Direct 122
1	grades four, five and six.
2	Q When did you get your masters in reading and
3	writing?
4	A In May of 2007 it well, I graduated, actually,
5	in December, December of 2006.
6	Q Okay. What
7	A Ceremony was in May of 2007.
8	Q Okay. Was there any type of reading
9	specialist for Atlantic Avenue School before you were
10	hired?
11	A No.
12	Q I'm going to draw your attention to a a
13	DRA. First of all, have you ever assessed H.M. or ever
14	had any type of assessment of her?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What in what capacity?
17	A I assessed her this year in the administration of
18	the QRI.
19	Q Did you administer any other tests of her in
20	fifth grade?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. What's the QRI?
23	A It's called a Qualitative Reading Inventory and
24	it's assessment of right now it's a it's a multi-
25	assessment, but we are using it in Haddon Heights for

grade level in regards to comprehension.

Q And what were the results of H.M.'s assessment?

MS. REISMAN: Objection. This assessment

MS. REISMAN: Objection. This assessment occurred this school year and we've had objection from the other side to events that occurred after the team made its decision. This -- this wasn't the basis of the team's decision for the 2008/2009 school year.

MR. BENTLEY: But there's been -- sorry.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BENTLEY: There's been evidence -questions on cross examination of my expert witness of
things that may have happened in sixth grade.

MS. REISMAN: The -- the parents haven't even seen copies of the results of this assessment.

It's -- it's --

MR. BENTLEY: But I'm sure they know --

THE COURT: 2008.

MR. BENTLEY: I'm sure they know what the results are and that's why they don't want the Judge to know what they are.

MS. REISMAN: Actually, we don't know.

(Laughing.) It's not that we don't want the Judge to know what they are, it's that this is not something

that the team had at the time it made its decision.

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

You know, the usual -- the usual THE COURT:

type of case that I have is one where there is -- a child is placed in an educational program and I'm to be asked to decide whether a specific IEP is appropriate and -- and usually after events had -- after the fact are allowed in because it's important to the child's interests -- in the best interests of the child to determine really what is -- you know, what the proper determination should be.

This is different, though. This is a determination that was made at a point in time that H.M. is not qualified or not eligible for special education and related services. I'm not sure that it would be fair to consider after -- events that occurred after the fact. And to the extent that this is a test that occurred after the fact I'm going to -- going to exclude it.

## BY MR. BENTLEY:

- Ms. Elfreth, were you involved in a -- a DRA assessment of H.M. back in --
- Did I administer one, are you asking? Α
  - Were you involved in -- in any capacity?
- Α Yes.
  - In what -- in what capacity? Q